



Oxford Local Plan 2045 Submission Draft COMMENT FORM

Part A

You only need to
fill Part A in once

Your name:	Mr. David John Morris
Organisation (if applicable):	
Address:	
Email:	
Date:	12.03.26

Data protection:

Please note that your response will be made available for inspection by the public in paper form at the Council's offices, or other locations as appropriate for the purpose of facilitating public access.

Your personal details will be properly safeguarded and processed in accordance with the requirements of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) 2018. Your information will be used for The Oxford Local Plan 2045 Proposed Submission Consultation only, and we will only store your data until the Oxford Local Plan 2045 is accepted. Information you give in this form could be shared with the Independent Examiner at the examination stage of the Local Plan process.

We cannot accept anonymous comments.

- ☒ If you are happy for us to state your name and the first line of your address and postcode when publishing your response(s), please tick this box.
- ☐ If you would rather all personal details except your name and a non-specific address (e.g. Oxford) to be obscured, please tick this box.

GENERAL ADVICE

For advice on making a comment, please see the accompanying notes page. It is also available at www.oxford.gov.uk/oxford-local-plan-2045

When completing the form,

- You only need to complete Part A once
- Use Part B to make your specific comments. You may complete Part B multiple times to comment on different parts of the Oxford Local Plan 2045.
- Cover concisely all the information and evidence you feel supports or justifies your view, as this will normally be your only opportunity to tell us about it.
- Be as precise as possible.

HOW TO SUBMIT YOUR COMMENTS

Please submit completed forms by email or post to:

planningpolicy@oxford.gov.uk

Planning Policy Team

Oxford City Council

Town Hall

St Aldate's

Oxford

OX1 1BX

DETAILS OF YOUR COMMENT

Please read the accompanying notes before completing Part B. The notes explain what we mean by soundness and legal compliance. These are questions that we are expected to ask consultees.

Part B

Please use a new Part B for each point you are commenting on. Attach all completed forms to Part A.

Q1. Which part of the document do you wish to comment on? (please give the relevant paragraph or policy number)

Paragraph	SPS8	Policies Map	L P 2045
Policy Number		Sustainability Appraisal	

Q2. Do you consider that the document:

- | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| (a) is legally compliant? | ☐ Yes | ☒ No |
| (b) is sound? | ☐ Yes | ☒ No |
| | ☐ | ☐ |

Q3. Do you consider that the document is **unsound** because it is not: (tick as appropriate)

- | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| (a) positively prepared? | ☒ | (c) effective? | ☒ |
| (b) justified? | ☒ | (d) consistent with national policy? | ☒ |

Q4. Please tell us below why you consider the document to be unsound or not legally compliant. If you do believe the document is sound or legally compliant, or complies you may use the box to explain why

Q5. What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the document sound or legally compliant? Please explain why this change will achieve soundness or legal compliance. It would be helpful if you could suggest revised wording for the policy or text in question.

Site SPS8 is simply unsuitable for housing development, and should be removed from L.P.2045

Please use an extra sheet if completing a paper copy.

This is the end of the comment form

From: David Morris [REDACTED]
Sent on: Tuesday, March 10, 2026 7:57:09 PM
To: Planning Policy <planningpolicy@oxford.gov.uk>
Subject: Planning Item SPS8 on Meadow Lane, Iffley

Categories: Handled by [REDACTED]

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Sirs

Any development on land at Meadow Lane SPS8 (known locally as Horse Fields) would be unsustainable and cannot be delivered in a viable fashion in accordance with local and national policy. This makes the allocation unsound, and site SPS8 should therefore be deleted from Local Plan 2045.

Yours sincerely

Mr. David J. Morris

[REDACTED]