From: Sophia Smith [redacted]
Sent on: Friday, March 20, 2026 6:28:04 PM
To: Planning Policy <planningpolicy@oxford.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Oxford local plan 2045, consultation response

You don't often get email from [redacted]

Dear [redacted]
[redacted]However here are my answers to the questions in Part A:
Name: Sophia Smith,
Organisation: N/A,
[redacted]
Date: 13.March 2026 (comment submitted),
20. March 2026 (today's).
Data Protection Options:
Box 2 - I would rather all personal details except my name and a non-specific address to be obscured.
Do you want to speak at hearings? No.
Do you want to be notified when:
Council submits plan to Government? No.
Inspector's report is published? Yes.
Plan is adopted by Council? No.
I hope this is satisfactory to enable my comments to be included in the consultation.
Thanking you,
Sophia Smith

On Mon, 16 Mar 2026 at 11:06, Planning Policy
wrote:

> Dear Sophia,
>
> Thank you for your email.
>
> Before we can make your comment a valid Reg 19 rep you will need to provide your contact info and notification preferences. Please complete **Part A only of the form** attached.
>
> Kind regards,
>
> [redacted] **Planning Policy | Planning and Regulation**
> [redacted]
> oxford.gov.uk | Facebook | Instagram | sign up to our newsletter

From: Sophia Smith
Sent: 13 March 2026 22:15
To: Planning Policy
Subject: Oxford local plan 2045, consultation response

You don't often get email from [redacted]

Dear Sir,

Re :Land at Meadow Lane SPS8 (known locally as the Horse Fields).

Any development here would be unsustainable, due to the high level of harm to ecology and the Iffley rural conservation area, which can't be mitigated, and difficult access, causing danger to users of the quiet route for active travel. It's also in a flood zone. Therefore this makes the plan not positively prepared.

There is now no minimum housing allocation for this site, so in fact it might not be able to deliver any housing at all in a sustainable or policy compliant way. It's been found with evidence to be a very sensitive site with 15 different constraints, the most for any site in the plan. There are also alternative locations suitable for housing on brownfield sites in the city. Therefore allocating this site for development in the plan is not justified or effective.

Conclusion: Allocation of this site for development makes the plan unsound, because it isn't positively prepared, justified or effective.

Therefore this site SPS8 should be DELETED from the Local Plan 2045.

Thank you.

Sophia Smith

[redacted]