

Response ID ANON-M2Q4-48W1-R

Submitted to Oxford Local Plan 2045 Submission Draft (Regulation 19) Consultation
Submitted on 2026-03-10 16:25:47

Contact Information

1 Name

Name:
Dr David Anthony (Tony) Eaude

2 Email Address

3 Postal Address

4 Postcode

5 Organisation Name (if applicable)

Organisation:

6 In what capacity are you responding to this consultation?

Individual/Member of the public

7 If you are commenting on behalf of someone else, please state their name here. If you are representing more than one person or group we suggest making a separate submission for each.

acting as agent?:

Data Protection Notice and Notification Options

8 Are you happy for the first line of your address and postcode to be published with your response(s)?

I do NOT want my address and postcode to be published with my response.

9 Do you wish to be notified when:

the Council submits the Oxford Local Plan 2045 to the Government?, the Inspector's report is published?, the Oxford Local Plan 2045 is adopted?

10 If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? Please note: while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

I am not sure

11 If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please tell us why you consider this to be necessary. Please note: the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

reason to attend hearings:

I am not sure as I am not certain whether these points relate to what the Inspector will be considering but would like to be able to speak to some points especially those related to the area around the Kassam Stadium.

Policy S1: Spatial Strategy and Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development

12 Do you consider that policy S1 is sound?

Yes

13 If you do NOT consider policy S1 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

reasons for unsoundness S1:

14 Do you consider that policy S1 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy S1 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

S1 legal compliance comments:

15 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

changes to make policy s1 sound:

Policy S2: High Quality Design

16 Do you consider that policy S2 is sound?

Yes

17 If you do NOT consider policy S2 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

s2 comments:

18 Do you consider that policy S2 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy S2 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

s2 legal compliance comments:

19 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make s2 sound:

Policy S3: Infrastructure Delivery in New Development

20 Do you consider that policy S3 is sound?

Yes

21 If you do NOT consider policy S3 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

s3 comments:

I support Policy S3 but suggest an addition to paragraph 3 on p16

'The expectation will be that the necessary infrastructure will be completed before occupancy of the site for the purpose for which permission is given.'

The reason is that too often the infrastructure to accompany development is not built in a timely manner or in some cases at all.

22 Do you consider that policy S3 is legally compliant? If you do NOT think policy S3 is legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

s3 legal compliance comments:

23 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

proposed changes to make policy s3 sound:

Policy S4: Plan Viability

24 Do you consider that policy S4 is sound?

Yes

25 If you do NOT consider policy S4 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

s4 comments:

26 Do you consider that policy S4 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy S4 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

s4 legal compliance comments:

27 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

proposed changes to make policy s4 sound:

Chapter 1: Vision and Strategy (whole chapter)

28 Do you wish to comment on any other part(s) of Chapter 1? Please state the relevant section or paragraph reference(s):

references for further comment chap 1:

Yes, please see suggestions in 32 below related to
Vision p 4
Overarching threads p 7/8

29 Do you consider that the parts of Chapter 1 mentioned above are sound?

Yes

30 If you do NOT consider the parts of chapter 1 mentioned above to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

31 Do you consider that Chapter 1 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider the chapter to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

chapter 1 legal compliance:

32 Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the stated part(s) of the chapter legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make c1 sound:

I suggest including in Vision (P 4) the words 'and reducing inequalities' to read

In 2045 Oxford will be a healthy and inclusive city, with strong communities that benefit from equal opportunities for everyone and reducing inequalities, not only in access to housing, but to nature, employment, social and leisure opportunities and to healthcare.

I also suggest that there could be more explicit mention of and emphasis on safety, possibly by adding the word 'safe' after 'healthy' to read 'healthy, safe and inclusive city'

I generally welcome the overarching threads especially the emphasis on reducing inequalities but suggest adding to 'securing delivery' 'in a timely and sensitive way'

I suggest on p7

In 'Provide neighbourhoods facilities needed to support our daily lives within a short walk from our homes, to support a liveable city.' take out '<neighbourhoods'

And add new next clause

'Ensure that other facilities needed to support a liveable city are easily accessible especially by walking, cycling or public transport'

Policy H2: Delivering Affordable Homes

37 Do you consider that policy H2 is sound?

Yes

38 If you do NOT consider policy H2 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

h2 soundness comments:

39 Do you consider that policy H2 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy H2 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

h2 legal compliance comments:

40 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make h2 sound:

Policy H3: Affordable housing contributions from other development types

41 Do you consider that policy H3 is sound?

Yes

42 If you do NOT consider policy H3 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

h3 comments:

43 Do you consider that policy H3 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy H3 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

h3 legal compliance:

44 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make h3 sound:

Policy H6: Development involving loss of dwellings

53 Do you consider that policy H6 is sound?

Yes

54 If you do NOT consider policy H6 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

h6 comments:

I suggest some limitation on the conversion of dwellings to being used for short-term lets (ie those which are for regular letting to a succession of short-term visitors)

(whether here or in another policy)

55 Do you consider that policy H6 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy H6 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your

Not Answered

h6 legal compliance comments:

56 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make h6 sound:

Policy H7: Houses in Multiple Occupation

57 Do you consider that policy H7 is sound?

Yes

58 If you do NOT consider policy H7 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

h7 comments:

59 Do you consider that policy H7 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy H7 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

h7 legal compliance comments:

60 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make h6 sound:

Policy H12: Older Persons and Other Specialist Accommodation

77 Do you consider that policy H12 is sound?

Yes

78 If you do NOT consider policy H12 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

h12 comments:

While broadly supporting H12, I suggest a more positive statement to encourage more older persons and other specialist accommodation.

79 Do you consider that policy H12 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy H12 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

h12 legal compliance comments:

80 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make policy h12 sound:

Chapter 6: A City that Respects its Heritage and Fosters Design of the Highest Quality

245 Do you wish to comment on any other part(s) of Chapter 6? Please state the relevant section or paragraph reference(s):

references for further comment chap 4:

yes, pp 127/8

246 Do you consider that the parts of chapter 6 mentioned above are sound?

No

247 If you do NOT consider the parts of chapter 6 mentioned above to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

policy is NOT positively prepared

c6 comments:

With reference to pp 127/8, I strongly suggest that the area around the Ozone Leisure Centre/Kassam Stadium should be designated as a local centre as and when likely anticipated developments take place -and that a statement to this effect be included in the Local Plan. and that the provision of good, affordable facilities especially primary health care facilities in the Littlemore area should be explicitly encouraged in line with the Littlemore Neighbourhood Plan.

248 Do you consider the parts of chapter 6 mentioned above are legally compliant? If you do NOT consider them to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the chapter please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

c6 legal compliance comments:

249 Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the stated part(s) of the chapter legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

suggested changes to make chapter6 sound:

Policy C1: City, District and local Centres

250 Do you consider that Policy C1 is sound?

Yes

251 If you do NOT consider policy C1 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

policy is NOT positively prepared

c1 comments:

As stated previously

With reference to pp 127/8, I strongly suggest that the area around the Ozone Leisure Centre/Kassam Stadium should be designated as a local centre as and when likely anticipated developments take place -and that a statement to this effect be included in the Local Plan.

252 Do you consider that Policy C1 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy C1 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments

Not Answered

c1 legal compliance comments:

253 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make c1 sound:

see box 251 above

Policy C3: Protection, alteration and provision of local community facilities

258 Do you consider that Policy C3 is sound?

Yes

259 If you do NOT consider policy C3 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

c3 comments:

I strongly support the emphasis on retaining and where possible improving facilities available for public use, though would like more emphasis on these being affordable, if possible.

260 Do you consider that Policy C3 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy C3 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments

Not Answered

c3 legal compliance comments:

261 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make c3 sound:

Policy C6: Transport Assessments, Travel Plans and Service and Delivery Plans

270 Do you consider that Policy C6 is sound?

Yes

271 If you do NOT consider policy C6 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

c6 comments:

I think overall there should be more emphasis on encouraging the provision of improved cycle lanes especially those solely for walkers/cyclists, and not for vehicular traffic.

(probably in this policy and perhaps elsewhere)

272 Do you consider that Policy C6 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy C6 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments

Not Answered

c6 legal compliance comments:

273 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make c6 sound:

Policy CBLLAOF: Cowley Branch Line and Littlemore Area of Focus

323 Do you consider that Policy CBLLAOF is sound?

Yes

324 If you do NOT consider policy CBLLAOF to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

CBLLAOF comments:

325 Do you consider that Policy CBLLAOF is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy CBLLAOF to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments

Not Answered

CBLLAOF legal compliance comments:

326 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make CBLLAOF sound:

I support the items under 'Key considerations for infrastructure and design across the area are:' on page 162, given the vital importance with the opening of the Cowley Branch Line to providing a major transport/mobility hub to minimise, and if possible reduce, the amount of vehicular traffic by providing excellent public transport to other areas of the City and nearby towns and villages. I think that under Policy CBLLAOF: Cowley Branch Line Area of Focus, there should be a specific point about designating suitable space to enable this.

I welcome the statement on p 164 that the Cowley Branch Line 'AoF also falls within the Littlemore Neighbourhood Plan area so proposals should take into account the community aspirations set out in the plan.' And would welcome reference elsewhere (eg in relation to SPS7 (Kassam Stadium), SPS11 (Overflow Car Park at Kassam Stadium) and SPS13 (Ozone Leisure Park and Minchery Farmhouse)) to the importance of taking into account the community aspirations set out in that Neighbourhood Plan.'

Policy SPS7: Kassam Stadium

351 Do you consider that Policy SPS7 is sound?

No

352 If you do NOT consider policy SPS7 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

policy is NOT positively prepared

sps7 comments:

please see 354 below (and answers under SPS11 and SPS 13) all of which call for a more strategic vision for these linked areas and the developments proposed for south of Grenoble Road.

353 Do you consider that Policy SPS7 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy SPS7 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments

Not Answered

sps7 legal compliance comments:

354 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make sps7 sound:

With reference to the sites discussed in SPS7 (Kassam Stadium), SPS11 (Overflow Car Park at Kassam Stadium) and SPS13 (Ozone Leisure Park and Minchery Farmhouse) I note that the draft plan states that 'a flexible approach will be taken to how the required uses are spread across the sites, but this must be led by a masterplan that shows how minimum housing numbers will be achieved overall.' I strongly support the proposal that these must be considered together strategically, including in so far as is possible the development proposed on the south side of Grenoble Road (not least bearing in mind that these are likely to be developed at different times). However this is not just in relation to the minimum housing numbers, but other considerations notably facilities available for use by local members of the public at affordable prices, primary care provision and small retail outlets and ensuring that there is land suitable to ensure that there is an integrated transport/mobility hub very close to the proposed station with the opening of the Cowley Branch Line to passengers (as set out in response on Policy CBLLAOF). I believe that these policies need to be rewritten to reflect this as acceptable uses.

Policy SPS11: Overflow carpark at Kassam Stadium site

367 Do you consider that Policy SPS11 is sound?

No

368 If you do NOT consider policy SPS11 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

policy is NOT positively prepared

sps11 comments:

please see 370 below (and answers under SPS7 and SPS 13) all of which call for a more strategic vision for these linked areas and the developments proposed for south of Grenoble Road.

369 Do you consider that Policy SPS11 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy SPS11 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments

Not Answered

sps11 legal compliance comments:

370 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make sps11 sound:

With reference to the sites discussed in SPS7 (Kassam Stadium), SPS11 (Overflow Car Park at Kassam Stadium) and SPS13 (Ozone Leisure Park and Minchery Farmhouse) I note that the draft plan states that 'a flexible approach will be taken to how the required uses are spread across the sites, but this must be led by a masterplan that shows how minimum housing numbers will be achieved overall.' I strongly support the proposal that these must be considered together strategically, including in so far as is possible the development proposed on the south side of Grenoble Road (not least bearing in mind that these are likely to be developed at different times). However this is not just in relation to the minimum housing numbers, but other considerations notably facilities available for use by local members of the public at affordable prices, primary care provision and small retail outlets and ensuring that there is land suitable to ensure that there is an integrated transport/mobility hub very close to the proposed station with the opening of the Cowley Branch Line to passengers (as set out in response on Policy CBLaOF). I believe that these policies need to be rewritten to reflect this as acceptable uses.

Policy SPS13: Ozone Leisure Park and Minchery Farmhouse

375 Do you consider that Policy SPS13 is sound?

No

376 If you do NOT consider policy SPS13 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

policy is NOT positively prepared

sps13 comments:

please see 378 below (and answers under SPS7 and SPS 11) all of which call for a more strategic vision for these linked areas and the developments proposed for south of Grenoble Road.

377 Do you consider that Policy SPS13 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy SPS13 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments

Not Answered

sps13 legal compliance comments:

378 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make sps13 sound:

With reference to the sites discussed in SPS7 (Kassam Stadium), SPS11 (Overflow Car Park at Kassam Stadium) and SPS13 (Ozone Leisure Park and Minchery Farmhouse) I note that the draft plan states that 'a flexible approach will be taken to how the required uses are spread across the sites, but this must be led by a masterplan that shows how minimum housing numbers will be achieved overall.' I strongly support the proposal that these must be considered together strategically, including in so far as is possible the development proposed on the south side of Grenoble Road (not least bearing in mind that these are likely to be developed at different times). However this is not just in relation to the minimum housing numbers, but other considerations notably facilities available for use by local members of the public at affordable prices, primary care provision and small retail outlets and

ensuring that there is land suitable to ensure that there is an integrated transport/mobility hub very close to the proposed station with the opening of the Cowley Branch Line to passengers (as set out in response on Policy CBLAOF). I believe that these policies need to be rewritten to reflect this as acceptable uses.

In SPS 13, I suggest the inclusion of an expectation that any development proposal must show that facilities for community use will be retained or improved, while recognizing that this need not be on a strict like-for-like replacement basis.

Policy SPS16: Templars Square

387 Do you consider that Policy SPS16 is sound?

Yes

388 If you do NOT consider policy SPS16 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

sps15 comments:

I particularly welcome the inclusion under Policy SPS16 Templars Square of community facilities and medical and healthcare facilities such as a health centre among the range of possible uses.

389 Do you consider that Policy SPS16 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy SPS16 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments

Not Answered

sps15 legal compliance comments:

390 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make spn1 sound: