

Submitted to Oxford Local Plan 2045 Submission Draft (Regulation 19) Consultation
Submitted on 2026-03-10 17:34:11

Contact Information

1 Name

Name:
Claire Castell

2 Email Address

Email:
[REDACTED]

3 Postal Address

postal address:

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

4 Postcode

postcode:

[REDACTED]

5 Organisation Name (if applicable)

Organisation:
None

6 In what capacity are you responding to this consultation?

Individual/Member of the public

7 If you are commenting on behalf of someone else, please state their name here. If you are representing more than one person or group we suggest making a separate submission for each.

acting as agent?:
Just myself

Data Protection Notice and Notification Options

8 Are you happy for the first line of your address and postcode to be published with your response(s)?

I do NOT want my address and postcode to be published with my response.

9 Do you wish to be notified when:

None of the above

10 If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? Please note: while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

I am not sure

11 If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please tell us why you consider this to be necessary. Please note: the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

reason to attend hearings:

Unsure

Policy SPS8: Land at Meadow Lane

355 Do you consider that Policy SPS8 is sound?

No

356 If you do NOT consider policy SPS8 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

policy is NOT positively prepared, policy is NOT justified, policy is NOT effective

sps8 comments:

Policy is NOT positively prepared

Previous local plans ruled out development due very difficult access. This is a quiet route that is used by many pedestrians and cyclists as there a few cars. The environment agency has objected to this site being suitable and this site is partly in Flood Zone 3.

Policy is NOT justified

The site has 15 constraints- the highest number of any site on the new Local Plan and zero housing allocated.

The brownfield sites currently designated for employment would be a much better choice for housing. A new employment policy (Policy E1) makes it possible for land-owners to deliver housing alongside employment on these sites. With the current problems with the economy even more Brownfield sites look likely to appear.

Policy is NOT effective

There is zero allocation from a previous allocation of 32 houses means the policy is totally ineffective. This is a very sensitive site and is totally unsuitable for housing.

357 Do you consider that Policy SPS8 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy SPS8 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments

No

sps8 legal compliance comments:

Given the policy is not positively prepared, effective or justified means that it cannot meet the tests of soundness or legal compliance.

358 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make sps8 sound:

I strongly recommend site SPS8 should be deleted from LP 2045 as it is unsustainable and contravenes local and national policy.