

Response ID ANON-M2Q4-48JJ-4

Submitted to Oxford Local Plan 2045 Submission Draft (Regulation 19) Consultation
Submitted on 2026-03-13 13:23:59

Contact Information

1 Name

Name:
MARY WARRELL

2 Email Address

Email:
[REDACTED]

3 Postal Address

postal address:
[REDACTED]

4 Postcode

postcode:
[REDACTED]

5 Organisation Name (if applicable)

Organisation:

6 In what capacity are you responding to this consultation?

Individual/Member of the public

7 If you are commenting on behalf of someone else, please state their name here. If you are representing more than one person or group we suggest making a separate submission for each.

acting as agent?:

Data Protection Notice and Notification Options

8 Are you happy for the first line of your address and postcode to be published with your response(s)?

I do NOT want my address and postcode to be published with my response.

9 Do you wish to be notified when:

the Inspector's report is published?

10 If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? Please note: while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

No

11 If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please tell us why you consider this to be necessary. Please note: the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

reason to attend hearings:

Policy S1: Spatial Strategy and Presumption in Favour of Sustainable Development

12 Do you consider that policy S1 is sound?

No

13 If you do NOT consider policy S1 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

policy is NOT justified, policy is NOT consistent with national policy

reasons for unsoundness S1:

The plan is unsuitable for the proposed site. Old Headington used to have 'protected open space' which strictly limited building, even one meter over the boundary (as we found to our cost) . The green belt is no longer exists and this would further diminish the remaining green areas.

There would be a dramatic effect of increasing residential accommodation on the surrounding traffic. There would inevitably be increased flow through St Andrews road, where there is already an irritating problem of single file traffic, which can be a great problem in rush hours. Ambulances, postal and delivery vans would be increased and delayed. This would inflict stress on new and long-term residents.

Local amenities eg. schools, drainage etc are likely to be overloaded.

Previous building plans have been rejected and so should this one.

14 Do you consider that policy S1 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy S1 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

S1 legal compliance comments:

I am not competent to comment.

15 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

changes to make policy s1 sound:

Refuse the application for all the reasons given.

Policy S2: High Quality Design

16 Do you consider that policy S2 is sound?

Not Answered

17 If you do NOT consider policy S2 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

s2 comments:

18 Do you consider that policy S2 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy S2 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

Not Answered

s2 legal compliance comments:

19 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make s2 sound: