

Submitted to Oxford Local Plan 2045 Submission Draft (Regulation 19) Consultation
Submitted on 2026-03-09 11:27:13

Contact Information

1 Name

Name:
Tamasin Went

2 Email Address

Email:
[REDACTED]

3 Postal Address

postal address:
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

4 Postcode

postcode:
[REDACTED]

5 Organisation Name (if applicable)

Organisation:
N/a

6 In what capacity are you responding to this consultation?

Individual/Member of the public

7 If you are commenting on behalf of someone else, please state their name here. If you are representing more than one person or group we suggest making a separate submission for each.

acting as agent?:

Data Protection Notice and Notification Options

8 Are you happy for the first line of your address and postcode to be published with your response(s)?

I am happy for the first line of my address and postcode to be published with my response.

9 Do you wish to be notified when:

the Inspector's report is published?

10 If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? Please note: while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

No

11 If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please tell us why you consider this to be necessary. Please note: the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

reason to attend hearings:

Policy SPE14: Ruskin Field

451 Do you consider that Policy SPE14 is sound?

No

452 If you do NOT consider policy SPE14 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

policy is NOT effective

spe14 comments:

The concerns I have on the policy for Ruskin fields are

- sustainable travel
- flooding / water run off
- capacity of local sewage management
- keeping a leafy/ green character on the parts of the site facing the conservation area.

453 Do you consider that Policy SPE14 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy SPE14 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments

Yes

spe14 legal compliance comments:

454 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make SPE14 sound:

- Ensuring that the approval for housing is in locations that are not in the areas that flood / are used by rainfall run off
- either upgrading the sewage or keeping the number of occupants low enough not to impact the sewage capacity adversely
- upgrading the cycle / walking / mobility scooter access to Old Headington by upgrading Stoke place and the cycle path to the ring road, and adopting Stoke Place to council management going forwards. The developers should fund this. I would also link the housing development to the cycle path to provide an alternative, non motorised vehicle access to the site.
- keeping some areas as park / trees for communities to enjoy, rather than maximising the density of building on the site