

Submitted to Oxford Local Plan 2045 Submission Draft (Regulation 19) Consultation
Submitted on 2026-03-13 19:24:43

Contact Information

1 Name

Name:
Laurent Gigou

2 Email Address

Email:
[REDACTED]

3 Postal Address

postal address:
[REDACTED]

4 Postcode

postcode:
[REDACTED]

5 Organisation Name (if applicable)

Organisation:

6 In what capacity are you responding to this consultation?

Individual/Member of the public

7 If you are commenting on behalf of someone else, please state their name here. If you are representing more than one person or group we suggest making a separate submission for each.

acting as agent?:

Data Protection Notice and Notification Options

8 Are you happy for the first line of your address and postcode to be published with your response(s)?

I am happy for the first line of my address and postcode to be published with my response.

9 Do you wish to be notified when:

None of the above

10 If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s)? Please note: while this will provide an initial indication of your wish to participate in hearing session(s), you may be asked at a later point to confirm your request to participate.

No

11 If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please tell us why you consider this to be necessary. Please note: the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate in hearing session(s). You may be asked to confirm your wish to participate when the Inspector has identified the matters and issues for examination.

reason to attend hearings:

Policy SPE14: Ruskin Field

451 Do you consider that Policy SPE14 is sound?

No

452 If you do NOT consider policy SPE14 to be sound, please select your reasons why from the choices below. Please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the soundness of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments.

policy is NOT positively prepared, policy is NOT justified, policy is NOT effective, policy is NOT consistent with national policy

spe14 comments:

S1 c) In an area without appropriate travel links / access

S1 f) It would harm the amenity of existing neighbouring uses

S1 g) destruction of a greenfield location & an important green infrastructure network

S1 h) character of the proposal not in keeping with the Old Headington Conservation Area

S1 i) In the context of the LNBB which will be built in the near future, this proposal goes against the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, para 20.d) requirement to make sufficient provision for conservation and enhancement of the natural, built and historic environment, including landscapes and green infrastructure. This proposal fails to protect irreplaceable resources, under the protection of the Old Headington Conservation Area.

I am extremely concerned by the additional traffic on the already congested local roads (Northway & Dunstan Road). Additionally, an access to the ring road at Foxwell Drive would clearly be dangerous. Also, we are worried that new owners would use Stoke Place to park their cars, adding to an already difficult situation.

Additionally, this plan does not respect the character of Old Headington Conservation Area.

Finally, with the LLNB development on the horizon, the loss of the green corridor would be a disaster not only for Old Headington, but also for the wider neighbourhood. The Larkins Lane Field clearly demonstrate the importance of protecting these peat rich, spring-fed calcareous fen.

453 Do you consider that Policy SPE14 is legally compliant? If you do NOT consider policy SPE14 to be legally compliant, please give precise details for your reasons in the box below - if you wish to support the legal compliance of the policy please also use this box to set out your comments

Not Answered

spe14 legal compliance comments:

454 Please set out, as precisely as possible, what change(s) you consider necessary to make the policy legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above. You will need to say why the suggested change will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text.

suggested changes to make SPE14 sound:

The only way would be to remove the Ruskin Fields from the list of sites for which planning permission will be granted for residential development