# Oxford Local Plan 2042 – Interim Regulation 18 stage site assessment form incorporating sustainability appraisal

Site name	Oxford Brookes University Marston Road
	Campus
SHLAA reference (and OLP2036 Policy if	439
applicable)	LP2036 Policy SP50
Ward	Headington Hill & Northway
Total site size (ha)	1.18 ha
Existing use(s)	Educational facilities



## <u>Stage 1a assessment – are there any clear conflicts with national planning policy and/or any</u> <u>insurmountable environmental or physical constraints?</u>

Assessment criteria	Outcome	Comments
Is the site an SAC or SSSI?	No	

Is the site greenfield in flood zone 3b?	No	
Is the site area less than 0.25ha?	No	
Is the site already at an advanced stage in the planning process (development commenced)?	No	

#### Stage 1a conclusion

No clear conflicts with national policy or insurmountable environmental or physical constraints. Continue to next stage.

### <u>Stage 1b assessment – Assessment of deliverability of the site including any known intentions for</u> <u>development.</u>

Is there confirmed landowner intention to develop	Landowner update 2025 - confirmed intention to retain for use for academic and research but may be available during plan period if surplus.
Does the landowner specify types of development	Academic and research uses.

Stage 1b conclusion	
Landowner has set out intentions for development and potential uses. Continue to next stage of	
assessment.	

### <u>Stage 2 assessment – Further assessment of site deliverability, including consideration of</u> sustainability impacts (using the Sustainability Appraisal framework)

**SA objective 1.** To achieve the city's ambition to reach net zero **carbon emissions** by 2040.

See SA Objective 8 for decision-making criteria.

**SA objective 2**. To build **resilience to climate change**, including reducing risks from overheating, flooding and the resulting detriment to well-being, the economy and the environment.

Decision-making criteria: Is the use proposed suitable given the flood zone of the site?

Criteria	SA rating	Comments
What flood zone(s) is the site in?	0	Site is in Flood Zone 1 (A significant proportion of the site is at risk from surface water flooding.)

Flooding of	0	There is safe access/egress from the site – area surrounding site is
land		Flood Zone 1
surrounding		
site for access/		
egress		

**SA objective 3.** To encourage the **efficient use of land** through good design and layout, and minimise the use of greenfield and Green Belt land.

**Decision-making criteria:** Will the site make use of previously developed land? And will the site be on Green Belt land?

Criteria	SA rating	Comments
Previously	0	Yes.
developed		
land?		
Green belt?	0	Not in Green Belt.

**SA objective 4.** To meet **local housing needs** by ensuring that everyone has the opportunity to live in a decent affordable home.

**Decision-making criteria:** Will the site provide net new housing? And will it improve the availability of decent affordable housing?

Criteria	SA rating	Comments
Housing	l I	Site allocated for further academic and research related uses.
provision		Potential to deliver some student accommodation but the amount will
		depend on implementation.
Affordable	l I	If student accommodation is delivered then there is the potential to
housing		deliver some affordable housing through financial contributions. Will
provision		depend on implementation.

**SA objective 5.** To reduce poverty, social exclusion, and health **inequalities**.

**Decision-making criteria:** Will it improve opportunities for people in the most deprived areas? For the purposes of this assessment, a regeneration area is defined as an area that falls within the top 20% most deprived areas nationally according to the Indices of Multiple Deprivation.

Criteria	SA rating	Comments
Regeneration area (within the top 20% most deprived areas IMD)	0	Site is not in or adjacent to a regeneration area.

SA objective 6. To provide accessible essential services and facilities.

**Decision-making criteria:** Will it increase the provision of essential services and facilities? *See also SA Objective 8.* 

Criteria	SA rating	Comments
Community	l l	Depends on implementation.
facilities		

**SA objective 7.** To provide adequate **green infrastructure, leisure and recreation** opportunities and make these readily accessible for all.

Decision-making criteria: Will it increase the provision of public open space?

Criteria	SA rating	Comments
Public open	I	Depends on implementation.
space		

**SA objective 8.** To reduce **traffic and associated air pollution** by improving travel choice, shortening journeys and reducing the need to travel by car/ lorry. (also SA objective 1: To achieve the city's ambition to reach net zero **carbon emissions** by 2040)

**Decision-making criteria:** Will it encourage walking cycling and use of public transport? And is the site within an Air Quality Management Area or in proximity to an Air Quality hotspot?

Criteria	SA rating	Comments
Sustainable	+	<400m from bus stops on Jack Straws Lane.
transport links		
(bus stop)		
Sustainable	-	>1600m from train station.
transport links		
(rail station)		
Primary	+	<550m to the nearest primary school (St Michaels CE Primary)
Schools		
Secondary	-	>2,400m to the nearest secondary school (Cheney School)
Schools		
GP Surgeries	-	>1600m from the nearest GP surgery (Brookes Medical Centre)
Post office	-	>850m from the nearest post office (Marston Road post office)
Air Quality	-	The whole city is within an AQMA.

SA objective 9. To achieve water quality targets and manage water resources.

Decision-making criteria: Does the site contain, or is it near, a water body?

Criteria	SA rating	Comments

SA objective 10. To conserve and enhance Oxford's biodiversity.

**Decision-making criteria:** Will development of the site be able to protect and enhance existing flora, fauna and habitats?

Criteria	SA rating	Comments
Ecology and Biodiversity	-	Contains The Quad, part of Milhamford Field and Quad Local Wildlife site.
		Both parcels of the LWS are included in the designation due to the presence of botanically rich unimproved grassland. The main 'Nature Park' should be excluded from any development area, while any development should be encouraged to retain the Quad as well. There may be an opportunity to fund ongoing and additional habitat management through any development.
		Potential protected species constraints include roosting bats, foraging and commuting bats, breeding birds, reptiles, amphibians, badgers, and invertebrates.
		Please note the current Biodiversity TAN also states:
		"Additional consideration shall be given to developments in the vicinity of the Lye Valley SSSI and the Milham Ford City Wildlife Site. An Infiltration Drainage and Pollution Control Scheme for roof and hard surfacing run-off is required where the development is in the catchment area of the above sites. This is because reduction in water entering the aquifer is threatening the survival of this nationally rare habitat. Applicants for development in this area should discuss the requirements with the Council at an early stage."
		If existing trees are removed new trees should be planted to fully mitigate the impact on tree canopy cover green infrastructure in the area. New tree planting should be appropriate to ensure that the predicted tree canopy cover (% site area) following development (25 years) delivers policy and GI TAN expectations i.e., at least no net loss. Opportunities exist to plant new trees to improve connectivity within GI network.

**SA objective 11.** To promote **good urban design** through the protection and enhancement of the **historic environment** and heritage assets while respecting local character and context and promoting innovation.

**Decision-making criteria:** Is the development of the site likely to affect the significance (including the setting) of one or more heritage assets, including any associated historic, archaeological, artistic and/or architectural features?

Criteria	SA rating	Comments
Archaeology	0	None identified
Conservation	-	The site lies over the boundary, but within the setting of the Headington Hill
Areas &		Conservation Area and thus has an important relationship to the
Register of		conservation area which will need to be considered.
Parks and		
Gardens (RPG)		
Listed	0	No heritage assets listed in area according to Historic England mapping or the
Buildings		OHAR, however the school has a fairly significant history and is identified as a
		landmark in the Oxford in its Landscape Setting document.
View Cones	0	The site sits just to the south of the Doris Field Memorial Park view cone
		which skirts north east corner of site and looks south west
Historic Core	0	No
Area		

**SA objective 12.** To achieve sustainable inclusive **economic growth**, including the development and expansion of a diverse and knowledge- based economy and the culture/leisure/ visitor sector.

**Decision-making criteria:** Will it support key sectors that drive economic growth? And will it increase the quantity and quality of employment opportunities?

Criteria	SA rating	Comments
Employment	I	Depends on implementation. Potential for research-related jobs to
Opportunities		support the knowledge economy.
in the		
knowledge-		
based		
economy		
Diversifying	0	No change.
the economy		
end		
employment		
opportunities		

#### Other constraints which could affect suitability of site for development

Can access for vehicles be	Yes from north and south of site. However, roads offer limited
achieved?	capacity into site (narrow and fairly quiet). Site may be more
	suitable for car free development that would not put additional
	pressure on surrounding connections.

Can walking and cycling	Yes from north and south of the site – depending on layout of
connections with the	development, opportunity to establish and north/south connection
surrounding area be achieved?	through site (currently divided by the buildings)
Does the site include any	The car park to the north of the site is on two levels with a fairly
significant physical features	substantial slope. The row of hedges and trees form a natural border
such as trees, rivers/streams	along the western boundary as well as to the north of the site (which
or changes in ground level?	are characteristic of the length of Jack Straw's Lane) and could be
	easily retained. There is a medium-sized tree located within the car
	parking to the north of the site as well as a couple of other medium-
	sized trees along the borders on the southern frontage, also appears
	to be 2-4 trees in north-west corner behind hedge.
	Site contains significant existing trees and hedgerows along the
	northern boundary and Jack Straws Lane frontage and also trees
	scattered within the site which are important to public amenity in
	the area and will provide valuable ecosystem services. Existing trees
	will influence developable area of site and its capacity. The quality of
	all existing trees should be assessed against the criteria in table 1 of
	BS5837:2012 (or its latest iteration). High quality trees must be
	retained unless there is a robust over-riding policy-based
	justification. Moderate and low quality trees should be retained
	where it is feasible to do so. Opportunities exist to plant new trees
And lond contoningtion issues	to benefit public amenity in the area.
Are land contamination issues	No major contamination issues likely.
likely?	Norse interest:
Does the site adjoin a sensitive	None identified.
land use? Is there an adjoining	
land use that may cause	
disturbance or environmental	
issues such as noise or smells?	

#### Stage 2 conclusion

No significant issues identified – care will need to be taken around how the development impacts the LWS (the Quad) which is within the site and adjacent (Milhamford Field). The site may be better suited to car free development, to reduce pressure on the surrounding access roads into the site from the north and south. There may also be an opportunity to create a pedestrian/cycle route through the site.

The site sits within the setting of (adjacent to) the Headington Hill Conservation Area, and the design of any proposal will need to respond to this accordingly. Equally, whilst the former school building itself is not subject to designation, it is noted as a landscape feature in the Oxford Landscape Assessment with some positive architectural features that would preferably be retained in any development and guidance could be set out in the allocation.