## **DETAILS OF YOUR COMMENT**

**Please read the accompanying notes before completing Part B.** The notes explain what we mean by soundness and legal compliance. These are questions that we are expected to ask consultees.

Please use a new Part B for each point you are commenting on. Attach all completed forms to Part A.

**Q1**. Which part of the document do you wish to comment on? (please give the relevant paragraph or policy number)

Paragraph	Policies Map	
Policy Number	Sustainability Appraisal	
<b>Q2</b> . Do you consider that the document	t:	
(a) is legally compliant?	□Yes	□No
(b) is sound?	□Yes	□No
(c) complies with the duty to co-operate?	□Yes	□No

Q3. Do you consider that the document is **unsound** because it is <u>not</u>: (tick as appropriate)

(a) positively prepared?	(c) effective?
(b) justified?	(d) consistent with national policy?

**Q4**. Please tell us below why you consider the document to be unsound, not legally compliant or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. If you do believe the document is sound, legally compliant, or complies with the duty to co-operate you may use the box to explain why.

Please use an extra sheet if completing a paper copy.

**Q5**. What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the document sound or legally compliant? Please explain why this change will achieve soundness or legal compliance. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.) It would be helpful if you could suggest revised wording for the policy or text in question.

Please use an extra sheet if completing a paper copy.

This is the end of the comment form

## Policy E3

Q4: The overall principle of this policy is generally supported by Logicor, however there is concern that, whilst these do not equate to issues of soundness, there are issues in relation to the Policy's clarity on certain concepts and definition of particular references.

For example, the Policy would benefit from additional guidance and detail, particularly given the range of employment site types listed within the Policy. Furthermore, additional clarification should be made regarding Footnote 4, specifically in relation to the definition of commercial development and operational needs in relation to the category of employment site that the policy applies to.

The Council, in order for the policy to be acceptable must also be appropriately and robustly justified with sufficient evidence provided to validate its requirements.