OLP2040 Site assessment proforma (including Sustainability Appraisal)

Site name	Unipart	Site location plan
HELAA reference and GB OLP2036 Policy (if applicable)	120 SP7	Horepeth Rd. Sportsfield Cottages Oxford Rd
Ward	Lye Valley	
Site size	30.63 ha	
Existing use	Warehouses and industrial	
		459 Northfield Faim Oxford Rd

Stage 1 assessment – are there any clear conflicts with national planning policy and/or any insurmountable environmental or physical constraints?

Outcome	Comments	
No		
No		
No		
No		
No clear conflicts with national policy or insurmountable environmental or physical constraints.		
Continue to stage 2 assessment.		
	No No No	

Stage 2 assessment – Qualitative assessment of deliverability (incorporating Sustainability Appraisal)

SA Objective 1: To achieve the city's ambition to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2040. *See SA Objective 8 for decision-making criteria*

SA Objective	SA Objective 2: To build resilience to climate change, including reducing risks from overheating, flooding and the resulting detriment to well-being, the economy and the environment.			
Decision-	Is the use p	roposed suitable given the flood zone of the site?		
making criteria				
	SA rating	Comments		
What flood	0	Flood zone 1		
zone is the site				
in				
Flooding of land	0	Safe access and egress via Oxford Road (and potentially Transport		
surrounding site		Way)		
for access/				
egress				

SA Objective	SA Objective 3: To encourage the efficient use of land through good design and			
	layout, and	layout, and minimise the use of greenfield and Green Belt land		
Decision-making	Will the site make use of previously developed land/ buildings?			
criteria	Will the site be on Green Belt land?			
	SA rating	Comments		
Previously	0	PDL with buildings in use		
developed land				
Green Belt	0	No		

SA Objective	SA Objective 4: To meet local housing needs by ensuring that everyone has the opportunity to live in a decent affordable home			
Decision-making	Will the site	e provide significant quantities of net new housing?		
criteria	Will it improve the availability of decent affordable housing?			
	SA rating	SA rating Comments		
Housing	1	Depends on implementation		
provision				
Affordable	1	Depends on implementation		
housing				
provision				

SA Objective	SA Objective 5: To reduce poverty, social exclusion, and health inequalities		
Decision-making	Will it improve opportunities for people in the most deprived areas?		
criteria			
	SA rating	Comments	

Regeneration	0	Amongst 50% least deprived areas in country
areas		

SA Objective	SA Objective 6: To provide accessible essential services and facilities		
Decision-making criteria	Will it increase the provision of essential services and facilities?		
	SA rating	Comments	
Community facilities	I	Depends on implementation – unlikely to be allocated for community facilities however.	

See also SA Objective 8

SA Objective Decision-making criteria	SA Objective 7: To provide adequate green and blue infrastructure, leisure and recreation opportunities and make these readily accessible for all; and to conserve and enhance Oxford's biodiversity Will it increase the provision of public open space? Will it protect and enhance existing flora, fauna and habitats?	
Criteria	SA rating Comments	
	Sirrating	
Public open	0	Depends on implementation, but unlikely to result in increase due to
space		nature of site.
Ecology and biodiversity	+	UD assessment identifies - The site is, overall, highly urbanised with predominantly artificial/impermeable surface cover reflecting its industrial character. Area of scrub to the north east and
		hedging/trees on boundaries. Opportunities for additional greening of surfaces/roofs.

SA Objective	SA objective 8: To reduce traffic and associated air pollution by improving travel choice, shortening journeys and reducing the need to travel by car/ lorry (also SA objective 1: To achieve the city's ambition to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2040)		
Decision-making	Will it enco	urage walking cycling and use of public transport?	
criteria	Is the site w	vithin an Air Quality Management Area?	
	SA rating	SA rating Comments	
Sustainable	+	Less than 100 m from site entrance to Buildbase bus stop (11 city	
transport links		bus)	
(bus stop)			
Sustainable	-	1 hour 20/ 6.4km to Oxford Station	
transport links			
(rail station)			
Primary schools	-	Pegasus Primary school – 800m/ 10 mins	
Secondary	-	Wesley Green Middle School – 950m/11 mins	
schools			
GP surgeries	-	The Leys Health centre – 1.4km/17 mins	
Post office	-	Balfour Road – 850 m/10 mins	

Air quality Whole city is within an AQMA.
--

SA Objective	SA Objective 9: To achieve water quality targets and manage water resources	
Decision-making	Does the site contain, or is it near, a water body?	
criteria		
	SA rating	Comments
Water	-	Hollow Brook runs along boundary of site (to north east)

SA Objective	SA Objective 10: To promote good urban design through the protection and enhancement of the historic environment and heritage assets while respecting local character and context and promoting innovation.		
Decision-making criteria	Does the site contain any historical, or archaeological features?		
	SA rating	Comments	
Archaeology	0	There are no heritage assets on the site listed on the Historic England website or the OHAR.	
Conservation	0	The site does not fall within one of the city's conservation areas.	
Areas & Register			
of Parks and			
Gardens (RPG)			
Listed Buildings	0	There are no heritage assets on the site listed on the Historic England website or the OHAR.	
View Cones	0	There are no notable views/view cones going through the site according to view cones assessment.	
High Buildings	0		
Area			

SA Objective	SA Objective 11: To achieve sustainable inclusive economic growth, including the development and expansion of a diverse and knowledge-based economy and the culture/leisure/ visitor sector	
Decision-making	Will it support key sectors that drive economic growth?	
criteria	Will it increase the quantity and quality of employment opportunities?	
	SA rating	Comments
Support the	1	Depends on implementation – current LP2036 allocation is for for B1,
knowledge-		B2 and B8 employment uses at Unipart. Other complementary uses
based economy		will be considered on their merits. Site is a category 1 employment
		site.
Support	1	Depends on implementation
diversification or		
affordable		
workspace		

Other constraints which could affect suitability of site for development			
Can access for vehicles be	Yes via Oxford Road and potentially Transport Way		
achieved?			

Can walking and cycling connections with the surrounding area be achieved?	They could be improved – at the moment the route is highly car dominated. Access is also via Oxford Road.
Does the site include any significant physical features such as trees, rivers/streams or changes in ground level?	There are no TPOs on or near to the site. The north east end of the site is more natural in terms of surface cover than elsewhere, here there is a rectangular section of scrub and vegetation adjacent to the smaller warehouse unit, beyond the boundary of trees/hedges, Hollow Brook runs north to south. The rest of the site is largely devoid of natural features. Hollow brook on the boundary, as well as hedge
Are land contamination issues likely?	Previous LP2036 assessment noted: Potentially significant contamination issues.
Does the site adjoin a sensitive land use? Is there an adjoining land use that may cause disturbance or environmental issues such as noise or smells?	Site is in an industrial area, also adjacent to fields (to the east). The Cowley branch line would extend from the west.

Stage 2 conclusion

There are no notable issues with the site. The site is currently designated as an E1 category employment site and is likely to continue to remain suitable for employment uses in future. Design would need to consider any impacts to the hollow brook on the boundary of the site and should take opportunities to incorporate natural features to break up the urbanised nature of the site.

Stage 3 assessment – Qualitative assessment of deliverability and consideration of potential sustainability impacts. Identification of preferred options

Is there confirmed landowner intention to develop	HELAA A notes awaiting update from landowner 2022.
Does the landowner specify types of development	

Stage 3 conclusion

Subject to the considerations set out at stage 2, the site should be taken forward for a potential allocation within the preferred options consultation. Allocate for Employment.