### OLP2040 Site assessment proforma (including Sustainability Appraisal)

Site name	Ruskin Field	Site location plan
HELAA reference and OLP2036 Policy (if applicable)	463 SP56 (part of site)	
Ward	Headington	
Site size	4.5ha (1.19ha site allocation)	
Existing use	Green open space/college fields.	
		Headington 82 June Headington

# Stage 1 assessment – are there any clear conflicts with national planning policy and/or any insurmountable environmental or physical constraints?

Assessment criteria	Outcome	Comments
Is the site an SAC or SSSI?	No	
Is the site greenfield in flood zone 3b?	No	
Is the site area less than 0.25ha?	No	
Is the site already at an advanced stage in the planning process (development commenced)?	No	
Stage 1 conclusion		
No clear conflicts with national po	olicy or insurmountable	environmental or physical constraints.
Continue to Stage 2 assessment.		

Stage 2 assessment – Qualitative assessment of deliverability (incorporating Sustainability Appraisal)

**SA Objective 1: To achieve the city's ambition to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2040.** *See SA Objective 8 for decision-making criteria* 

SA Objective	SA Objective 2: To build resilience to climate change, including reducing risks from overheating, flooding and the resulting detriment to well-being, the economy and the environment.	
Decision-	Is the use proposed suitable given the flood zone of the site?	
making criteria		
	SA rating	Comments
What flood	0	The site is located entirely within Flood Zone 1.
zone is the site		
in		
Flooding of land	0	There is safe access/egress from the site – land surrounding site is
surrounding site		Flood Zone 1.
for access/		
egress		

SA Objective	SA Objective 3: To encourage the efficient use of land through good design and layout, and minimise the use of greenfield and Green Belt land	
Decision-making	Will the site make use of previously developed land/ buildings?	
criteria	Will the site be on Green Belt land?	
	SA rating	Comments
Previously	-	Site is greenfield land. Site is unprotected open space.
developed land		
Green Belt	0	Site is not on Green Belt land.

SA Objective	SA Objective 4: To meet local housing needs by ensuring that everyone has the opportunity to live in a decent affordable home	
Decision-making	Will the site provide significant quantities of net new housing?	
criteria	Will it improve the availability of decent affordable housing?	
	SA rating Comments	
Housing	1	Depends on implementation.
provision		
Affordable	1	Depends on implementation.
housing		
provision		
•		

SA Objective	SA Objective 5: To reduce poverty, social exclusion, and health inequalities
Decision-making	Will it improve opportunities for people in the most deprived areas?
criteria	

	SA rating	Comments
Regeneration	+	The site is adjacent to Barton which is one of the most deprived areas
areas		of Oxford.

SA Objective	SA Objective	SA Objective 6: To provide accessible essential services and facilities		
Decision-making	Will it increase the provision of essential services and facilities?			
criteria				
	SA rating	Comments		
Community	0	Site not allocated for community facilities so would remain the		
facilities		same.		

See also SA Objective 8

SA Objective	SA Objective 7: To provide adequate green and blue infrastructure, leisure and recreation opportunities and make these readily accessible for all; and to conserve and enhance Oxford's biodiversity	
Decision-making	Will it increase the provision of public open space?	
criteria	Will it protect and enhance existing flora, fauna and habitats?	
	SA rating	Comments
Public open	1	Depends on implementation. Site is allocated for housing but will
space		depend on deliverability.
Ecology and	+	Contains no nature conservation designations but has potential for
biodiversity		nature conservation interest.

SA Objective	SA objective 8: To reduce traffic and associated air pollution by improving travel choice, shortening journeys and reducing the need to travel by car/ lorry (also SA objective 1: To achieve the city's ambition to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2040)	
Decision-making	Will it enco	urage walking cycling and use of public transport?
criteria	Is the site v	vithin an Air Quality Management Area?
	SA rating	Comments
Sustainable	-	>400m to the nearest bus stop (Halliday Hill). This stop only has two
transport links		services: 13 City and X3 City every 20-minutes.
(bus stop)		
Sustainable	-	>1600m to Oxford Train Station (over an hour's walk).
transport links		
(rail station)		
Primary schools	-	>800m to the nearest primary school.
Secondary	-	>800m to the nearest secondary school.
schools		
GP surgeries	+	<800m to the nearest GP surgery (The Manor Surgery).
Post office	-	>800m to the nearest post office.
Air quality		Whole city is within an AQMA.

SA Objective	SA Objective 9: To achieve water quality targets and manage water resources		
Decision-making	Does the sit	Does the site contain, or is it near, a water body?	
criteria			
	SA rating	Comments	
Water	0	The site does not contain and is not near a body of water.	

SA Objective Decision-making	SA Objective 10: To promote good urban design through the protection and enhancement of the historic environment and heritage assets while respecting local character and context and promoting innovation. Does the site contain any historical, or archaeological features?	
criteria	CA nating	Commonto
	SA rating	Comments
Archaeology	0	The site is of little archaeological interest.
Conservation		The site is entirely within the Headington Hill Conservation Area.
Areas & Register		
of Parks and		
Gardens (RPG)		
Listed Buildings		There is a Grade II listed wall on the edge of the site (Walls of Walled
_		Garden at Ruskin College).
View Cones	0	The site does not lie within the city's view cones.
High Buildings	0	The site is not within the city's High Buildings Area.
Area		

SA Objective Decision-making criteria	SA Objective 11: To achieve sustainable inclusive economic growth, including the development and expansion of a diverse and knowledge-based economy and the culture/leisure/ visitor sector Will it support key sectors that drive economic growth?		
CITCETTA	Will it increase the quantity and quality of employment opportunities?		
	SA rating	Comments	
Support the	0	No change in number of jobs.	
knowledge-			
based economy			
Support	0	No change.	
diversification or			
affordable			
workspace			

Other constraints which could affect suitability of site for development			
Can access for vehicles be achieved?	There is currently no vehicle access to the site. Foxwell Drive is adjacent to the site and therefore access could be created to the site from there.		
Can walking and cycling connections with the surrounding area be achieved?	Improved walking and cycling connections are likely to be required.		

Does the site include any significant physical features such as trees, rivers/streams or changes in ground level?	There are a significant number of trees occupying the site.
Are land contamination issues likely?	Current land use suggests that land contamination issues are unlikely.
Does the site adjoin a sensitive land use? Is there an adjoining land use that may cause disturbance or environmental issues such as noise or smells?	The A40 (Oxford ring road) is at the northern boundary of the site which may cause disturbance such as noise and pollution. Mitigation may be necessary to minimise these impacts.

#### Stage 2 conclusion

The key conclusions at this stage are:

- Limited sustainable transport connections would need to improve walking and cycling to then have access to bus routes.
- No major heritage concerns although design sensitivity may be required as the site is within a conservation area and there is a listed wall on the boundary of the site.

Overall the site scores fairly well against the criteria. Continue to Stage 3 assessment.

## Stage 3 assessment – Qualitative assessment of deliverability and consideration of potential sustainability impacts. Identification of preferred options

Is there confirmed landowner intention to develop	Yes. The landowner (Ruskin College) has confirmed that the site is available.
Does the landowner specify types of development	Landowner intention to develop for residential.

### Stage 3 conclusion

Consider further for allocation for housing, subject to further information on deliverability.