OLP2040 Site assessment proforma (including Sustainability Appraisal)

| Site name | Diamond Place and | Site location plan |
|--|--|--|
| | Ewert House | |
| HELAA | 018 | |
| reference (and OLP2036 Policy if applicable) | Policy SP6 | Summerfold Rd |
| Ward | St. Margaret's | |
| Site size | 1.73ha | |
| Existing use | The site is owned mainly by Oxford City Council and the University of Oxford. The site is currently used as public car parks, offices and academic uses. | Summertown Summertown Contains oS data © Crown Copyright and database right 2020 |
| | | |

Stage 1 assessment – are there any clear conflicts with national planning policy and/or any insurmountable environmental or physical constraints?

| Assessment criteria | Outcome | Comments |
|---|---------|----------|
| Is the site an SAC or SSSI? | No | |
| Is the site greenfield in flood zone 3b? | No | |
| Is the site area less than 0.25ha? | No | |
| Is the site already at an advanced stage in the planning process (development commenced)? | No | |

Stage 1 conclusion

No clear conflicts with national policy or insurmountable environmental or physical constraints. Continue to stage 2 assessment.

Stage 2 assessment – Qualitative assessment of deliverability (incorporating Sustainability Appraisal)

SA Objective 1: To achieve the city's ambition to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2040. *See SA Objective 8 for decision-making criteria*

| SA Objective | SA Objective 2: To build resilience to climate change, including reducing risks from overheating, flooding and the resulting detriment to well-being, the economy and the environment. | |
|------------------|--|--|
| Decision- | Is the use p | roposed suitable given the flood zone of the site? |
| making criteria | | |
| | SA rating | Comments |
| What flood | 0 | Site is in Flood Zone 1 |
| zone is the site | | |
| in | | |
| Flooding of land | 0 | There is safe access/ egress from the site – area surrounding site is in |
| surrounding site | | Flood Zone 1 |
| for access/ | | |
| egress | | |

| SA Objective | SA Objective 3: To encourage the efficient use of land through good design and layout, and minimise the use of greenfield and Green Belt land | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Decision-making | Will the site make use of previously developed land/ buildings? | |
| criteria | Will the site be on Green Belt land? | |
| | SA rating | Comments |
| Previously | 0 | The site comprises previously developed land which includes car |
| developed land | | parks and some buildings. The redevelopment of the site would make |
| | | more effective and efficient use of land. |
| Green Belt | 0 | The site is not within the Green Belt |

| SA Objective | SA Objective 4: To meet local housing needs by ensuring that everyone has the opportunity to live in a decent affordable home | |
|------------------------------|---|--|
| Decision-making | Will the site | e provide significant quantities of net new housing? |
| criteria | Will it impr | ove the availability of decent affordable housing? |
| | SA rating | Comments |
| Housing provision | ++ | Site allocated for at least 180 homes. |
| Affordable housing provision | + | Site is likely to be policy compliant and deliver 40% affordable housing |

| SA Objective | SA Objective 5: To reduce poverty, social exclusion, and health inequalities |
|--------------|--|

| Decision-making | Will it improve opportunities for people in the most deprived areas? | |
|-----------------|--|--|
| criteria | | |
| | SA rating | Comments |
| Regeneration | 0 | Site is not within a Regeneration Area |
| areas | | |

| SA Objective | SA Objective 6: To provide accessible essential services and facilities | |
|----------------------|---|--|
| Decision-making | Will it increase the provision of essential services and facilities? | |
| criteria | | |
| | SA rating | Comments |
| Community facilities | ++ | Redevelopment of the site will provide replacement community hall to a better standard than at present. The intention is to explore the prospect of providing a new medical centre. There will also be some additional shops / café's serving the local people and new residents / students. |

See also SA Objective 8

| SA Objective | SA Objective 7: To provide adequate green and blue infrastructure, leisure and recreation opportunities and make these readily accessible for all; and to | |
|--------------------------|---|---|
| | | d enhance Oxford's biodiversity |
| Decision-making | Will it increas | se the provision of public open space? |
| criteria | Will it protec | t and enhance existing flora, fauna and habitats? |
| | SA rating | Comments |
| Public open | + | If Allocated for housing, 10% public open space is likely to be |
| space | | required, but could potentially be more depending on how the scheme evolves / design. |
| Ecology and biodiversity | + | Aerial imagery indicates site is dominated by hardstanding and buildings, with scattered trees and ornamental vegetation. Potential protected species constraints likely limited to roosting bats in existing buildings. The school fields east of the site are well-connected to the wider landscape via hedgerows. There would be an opportunity to deliver meaningful ecological enhancements through habitat creation in the east of the allocation site. If existing trees are removed new trees should be planted to fully mitigate the impact on tree canopy cover green infrastructure in the area. New tree planting should be appropriate to ensure that the predicted tree canopy cover (% site area) following development (25 years) delivers policy and GI TAN expectations i.e. at least no net loss. |

| SA Objective | SA objective 8: To reduce traffic and associated air pollution by improving travel choice, shortening journeys and reducing the need to travel by car/ lorry (also SA objective 1: To achieve the city's ambition to reach net zero carbon emissions by 2040) | |
|-----------------|---|---|
| Decision-making | Will it enco | urage walking cycling and use of public transport? |
| criteria | Is the site w | vithin an Air Quality Management Area? |
| | SA rating | Comments |
| Sustainable | + | site is 200m from Banbury Road bus stops, which is very well served |
| transport links | | with frequent buses, such as 2, 2a, 7 Gold |
| (bus stop) | | |
| Sustainable | - | The site is a 35-minute walk away from Oxford Parkway Station, |
| transport links | | which is over 1600m from the station. But is only a 7 minute bus ride |
| (rail station) | | away. |
| Primary schools | - | The site is a 15 minute walk from the nearest primary school (St. |
| | | Aloysius School, Woodstock Road) |
| Secondary | + | The site is less than a 10-minute walk from the nearest secondary |
| schools | | school. (Cherwell School) |
| | | |
| GP surgeries | + | The site is less than a 10-minute walk from the nearest GP surgery. |
| Post office | + | The site is less than a 10 minute walk to the nearest Post Office in |
| | | Summertown. |
| Air quality | | The whole city is within an AQMA. |

| SA Objective | SA Objective 9: To achieve water quality targets and manage water resources | |
|-----------------|---|--|
| Decision-making | Does the site contain, or is it near, a water body? | |
| criteria | | |
| | SA rating | Comments |
| Water | 0 | The site is not within 30m of a water body |

| SA Objective | SA Objective 10: To promote good urban design through the protection and enhancement of the historic environment and heritage assets while respecting local character and context and promoting innovation. | |
|------------------|---|--|
| Decision-making | Does the sit | e contain any historical, or archaeological features? |
| criteria | | |
| | SA rating | Comments |
| Archaeology | - | There is high potential for archaeological interest as the site is |
| | | adjacent to cropmarks of likely prehistoric or Roman date. |
| Conservation | | Site lies within the North Oxford Conservation Area |
| Areas & Register | | |
| of Parks and | | |
| Gardens (RPG) | | |
| Listed Buildings | - | The site lies adjacent to some Listed Buildings, Diamond Cottages |

| View Cones | 0 | The site does not lie within a view cone. |
|----------------|---|---|
| High Buildings | 0 | The site is not within a high buildings area. |
| Area | | |

| SA Objective Decision-making | SA Objective 11: To achieve sustainable inclusive economic growth, including the development and expansion of a diverse and knowledge-based economy and the culture/leisure/ visitor sector Will it support key sectors that drive economic growth? | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|
| criteria | Will it increase the quantity and quality of employment opportunities? | |
| Citeria | SA rating | Comments |
| Support the | - | The redevelopment of the site is principally for housing and student |
| knowledge- | | accommodation. It would involve the loss of Ewert House, |
| based economy | | Examination Building and some offices. The new jobs created are |
| | | unlikely to directly relate to the knowledge based-economy. |
| Support | + | The redevelopment of the site would provide opportunities for skills |
| diversification or | | and training programmes to be introduced associated with the |
| affordable | | construction of the new development, and provide some other jobs |
| workspace | | linked to the service sector / retail, cafes, and subject to viability a |
| | | new medical centre. |

| Other constraints which could affect suitability of site for development | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| Can access for vehicles be achieved? | Yes principally via Ferry Pool Road and potentially other options depending on scheme that comes forward. | | |
| Can walking and cycling connections with the surrounding area be achieved? | Yes there is a real opportunity to significant improve walking and cycling through the site. | | |
| Does the site include any significant physical features such as trees, rivers/streams or changes in | There is a change in ground level from north to south between the two principle car parks. | | |
| ground level? | Site contains existing trees which are important to public amenity in the area and will provide valuable ecosystem services. Existing trees within the site and adjacent to it will influence developable area of site and its capacity. The quality of all existing trees should be assessed against the criteria in table 1 of BS5837:2012 (or its latest iteration). High quality trees must be retained unless there is a robust over-riding policy-based justification. Moderate and low quality trees should be retained where it is feasible to do so. Opportunities exist to plant new trees benefit public amenity in the area. | | |
| Are land contamination issues likely? | No known contamination issues. | | |

| Does the site adjoin a | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|
| sensitive land use? Is there | | |
| an adjoining land use that | | |
| may cause disturbance or | | |
| environmental issues such | | |
| as noise or smells? | | |

The relationship between the development site and the listed buildings in Diamond Cottages, needs to be carefully considered.

Stage 2 conclusion

There are no significant conflicts with national policy or environmental or physical constraints to the development of this site. The Outline Strategic Business Case shows that there is a viable mixed-use development, principally housing and student accommodation, that can be achieved on this site. It will however be important to take the scheme forward as a comprehensive redevelopment and therefore the City Council and OUD will need to work in partnership to deliver its development. Both parties have been actively involved in positive discussions. The site can therefore progress to stage 3.

Stage 3 assessment – Qualitative assessment of deliverability and consideration of potential sustainability impacts. Identification of preferred options

| Is there confirmed landowner | Yes. An Outline Strategic Business Case has been prepared by one |
|----------------------------------|--|
| intention to develop | of the landowners (City Council) who consider that it is possible to |
| | bring forward a viable scheme. OUD, as the development agency |
| | arm of the University of Oxford, are keen to explore the site's |
| | development potential and have an ambition to provide some |
| | student accommodation. The University will need to find |
| | alternative accommodation for the Examination Building. In order |
| | to maximise the public benefits of this scheme it will be important |
| | to undertake a comprehensive redevelopment of the site involving |
| | both key landowners, so the timing, phasing and mechanism for |
| | partnership working will be key to its delivery. |
| Does the landowner specify types | Housing both market and affordable, student accommodation, |
| of development | replacement community hall and potentially a new health / medical |
| | centre. |

Stage 3 conclusion

Consider allocation of the site for a mixed-use development, principally housing and student accommodation.