

View Response

Response Details

From Vicki Marsh

Date Started: 14 Mar 2020 19:14. Last modified: 14 Mar 2020 19:14

Status Complete

Email Address [Redacted]

Postal Address [Redacted]

Postcode [Redacted]

Gender [Redacted]

What is your age? [Redacted]

What is your ethnic group? [Redacted]

Your ethnic group (if you answered other) [Redacted]

Are you disabled? [Redacted]

Areas of Interest

[Redacted]

[Redacted] [Redacted] [Redacted]

[Redacted] [Redacted]

[Redacted] [Redacted]

[Redacted] [Redacted]

Survey Types

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Response ID #795505

Visibility Unknown.

Question 1.

Your Name:

You must provide an answer to this question.

Vicki Marsh

Question 2.

Your organisation (if applicable):

«No response»

Question 3.

Your Address:

You must provide an answer to this question.

[REDACTED]

Question 4.

Your email address:

You must provide an answer to this question.

Question 5.

Do you wish to be notified when the Oxford Local Plan 2036 is adopted by the Council?

You must provide an answer to this question.

Yes

No

Question 6.

DATA PROTECTION:

Please note that your response will be made available for inspection by the public in paper form at the Council's offices, or other locations as appropriate for the purpose of facilitating public access.

Your personal details will be properly safeguarded and processed in accordance with the requirements of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) 2018. Your information will be used for The Oxford Local Plan 2036 Main Modifications Consultation only, and we will only store your data until the Oxford Local Plan 2036 is adopted. Information you give in this form will be shared with the Independent Examiners.

Please note: Anonymous representations may not be accepted.

Select at least 1 option.

Select this box if you are happy for us to state your name and the first line of your address and postcode when publishing your response(s).

Select this box if you would rather all personal details except your name and a non-specific address (e.g. Oxford) to be obscured.

Question 7.

To which Modification(s) or part(s) of the Sustainability Appraisal does this response relate?

You must provide an answer to this question.

Policy SP28 Park Farm

Question 8.

Do you Support or Object the proposed modification(s)?

You must provide an answer to this question.

Support

Object

Question 9.

If you object, please state why:

The Examination Inspectors are required to consider whether the Local Plans have been properly prepared against tests set out in the Government's National Planning Policy Framework (paragraph 182). Please tick any which apply:

- Not positively prepared - i.e. the strategy will not meet development needs
- Not justified - i.e. there is no evidence to justify the modification
- Not effective - i.e. it won't work
- Not consistent with national policy - i.e. does not comply with the law

Question 10.

Reason for **SUPPORT** or **OBJECTION**:

Please give details to explain why you support or object to the wording of the Modification(s) or part(s) of the Sustainability Appraisal of the Main Modifications.

You must provide an answer to this question.

The modification indicates that planning permission will be granted for a minimum of 60 residential dwelling at the Park Farm site. I have several objections on the basis that this decision is incompatible with other conditions within the same document, meaning that the policy as a whole is inconsistent. My objections are that:

1. This decision is incompatible with conditions laid out in section RE3 on Flood Policy in the same document. The Flood Policy makes it clear that development will not be allowed in areas where flood risk is categorized as 3b. However, the City Council's own document on Environmental Sustainability and Planning Application Guidance in relation to Flooding indicates that Park Farm is situated in a category 3b flood risk zone, as was very clearly demonstrated during the heavy rains in February this year when the area was under several feet of water. This contradiction with the modifications document must be resolved, and I cannot see that recent weather events make it possible that the risks of flooding in this area

could be downgraded.

2. The decision is also incompatible with a series of other conditions laid out across the local plan modifications document, including:

- Policy RE7: the importance of considering the impact of developments on neighbouring communities. The proposed site at Park Farm has very limited road access, including that roads leading to this area are heavily residential and often only allow for single lane traffic to pass. An additional at least 60 residences will clearly put enormous pressure on these small local roads.
- Policy G2: the importance of protecting biodiversity and sites of special scientific interest. Since Park Farm has been designated a Site of Special Scientific Interest in relation to biodiversity, it is highly contradictory that this document includes a decision to allow development in the area.
- Policy M2: Re giving priority to pedestrian and cycle movements. The proposed development at Park Farm would cut right across the Marston Cycle Path - a heavily used cycle and pedestrian path that connects many areas on the east side of the city with the centre - including Marston but also Headington and the Thornhill Park and Ride. Given this, its also inconceivable that this decision could be compatible with the Environmental Sustainability plans laid out in the Council's recent Connecting Oxford plans (which in principle I would strongly support)

Question 11.

Summary of Representation:

If your reason for support or objection is longer than 100 words, please summarise the main issues raised.

My objection is based on the important incompatibilities that the modifications generate within the document overall. Specifically, in relation to the modification concerning the decision to grant permission for a minimum of 60 residential dwellings at Park Farm in New Marston, my objections are based on the contradictions to conditions laid out in the same policy in relation to: Policy RE2 on Flood protection; RE7 on the impact on neighbouring communities; Policy G2 on the impact on biodiversity and sites of Special Scientific Interest; and Policy M2 on impacts on pedestrian and cycle movement as a priority. In relation to the latter policy (M2), I'm very disappointed that the decision in relation to Park Farm also presents a major contradiction to the currently planned Connecting Oxford transport plan, which in principle I strongly support.