

SUMMARISED

311

Submitted on Policy 51 (comment actually on document as a whole)

View Response

Response Details

From Vernon King

Date Started: 23 Dec 2018 20:30. Last modified: 23 Dec 2018 20:30

Status Complete

Response ID #674663

Visibility Response visibility: **Unknown.**

Email Address

[REDACTED]

Postal Address

[REDACTED]

Oxford

Postcode

[REDACTED]

Gender

[REDACTED]

What is your age?

[REDACTED]

If you are under 16,
what is your date of
birth?

What is your ethnic
group?

[REDACTED]

Your ethnic group (if
you answered other)

Are you disabled?

[REDACTED]

Organisation Name

Job Title / Position
within the Organisation

Areas of Interest Transport and Parking

Survey Types Online Consultations

1

Which part of the document do you wish to comment on? (please give the relevant paragraph or policy number below)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Paragraph | <input type="radio"/> Policies Map |
| <input type="radio"/> Policy Number | <input type="radio"/> Sustainability Appraisal |

Please give the relevant paragraph or policy number

I wish to respond to the document as a whole

2

Do you consider that the document:

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
(a) is legally compliant?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
(b) is sound?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(c) complies with the duty to co-operate?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3

Do you consider that the document is **unsound** because it is **not**: (tick as appropriate)

(a) positively prepared?

(b) justified?

(c) effective?

(d) consistent with national policy?

4

Please tell us below why you consider the document to be unsound, not legally compliant or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. If you do believe the document is sound, legally compliant, or complies with the duty to co-operate you may use the box to explain why.

I feel that the document shows a lack of direction and determination to protect the city heritage from unsuitable development and to provide adequate regulation to protect Oxford's conservation areas. The loss of green belt is but one example of the problem which I am opposed to. I live in a Victorian Conservation area and am appalled by the lack of protection for the buildings within it in the decisions being made and the Oxford Plan shows little change in that direction

1181
311-1
general
comments
about plan

1
- 93
311.2
1182

5

What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the document sound or legally compliant? Please explain why this change will achieve soundness or legal compliance. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.) It would be helpful if you could suggest revised wording for the policy or text in question.

Please refer to the comments made by the Norham Manor Residents Association in this context