

202

Policy REI

Summarised  
30/1

# View Response

## Response Details

From Pauline Wyman

Date Started: 16 Dec 2018 17:13. Last modified: 16 Dec 2018  
17:14

Status Complete

Response ID #673035

Visibility Response visibility: **Unknown.**

Email Address

[REDACTED]

Postal Address 11 Lovelace Square

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Postcode OX2 8JU

Gender

[REDACTED]

What is your age?

If you are under 16,  
what is your date of  
birth?

What is your ethnic  
group?

[REDACTED]

Your ethnic group (if  
you answered other)

Are you disabled?

[REDACTED]

Organisation Name

Job Title / Position  
within the Organisation

Areas of Interest Council Budget and Priorities, Environment, Planning and  
Regeneration

Survey Types Online Consultations

1

Which part of the document do you wish to comment on? (please give the relevant paragraph or policy number below)

- Paragraph  Policies Map  
 Policy Number  Sustainability Appraisal

Please give the relevant paragraph or policy number

4.4

2

Do you consider that the document:

Select the most applicable option in each row.

- |   | Yes                   | No                               |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| (a) is legally compliant?                 | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (b) is sound?                             | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (c) complies with the duty to co-operate? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

3

Do you consider that the document is **unsound** because it is **not**: (tick as appropriate)

(a) positively prepared?

(b) justified?

(c) effective?

(d) consistent with national policy?

4

Please tell us below why you consider the document to be unsound, not legally compliant or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. If you do believe the document is sound, legally compliant, or complies with the duty to co-operate you may use the box to explain why.

Why have you omitted to discuss FULLY the damage to air quality with all the new build, which will cost the NHS a fortune long-term?

REF  
292.1  
11/50

5

What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the document sound or legally compliant? Please explain why this change will achieve soundness or legal compliance. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.) It would be helpful if you could suggest revised wording for the policy or text in question.

Build far fewer houses in the Kidlington Gap - maybe 1,000 for social housing, so that nurses, police, firemen, teachers can afford to live near Oxford.

It also makes sense to use brown sites in Oxford for people in the medical profession who need access to the JR and Churchill.

With the building of the Westgate Centre and problems with retail in the High Street, the Covered Market, Debenhams and many more stores will soon be available to be turned into housing to meet needs further. We're tending to buy online and OCC should acknowledge that Oxford's shopping centre is due to change beyond recognition. Some prescience is required!

North Oxford

H1  
292.2  
11/51