

308

Policy E1

Summarised

View Response

Response Details

From T [redacted] Jackson

Date Started: 21 Dec 2018 22:56. Last modified: 21 Dec 2018 22:56

Status Complete

Response ID #674509

Visibility Response visibility: **Unknown**.

Email Address [redacted]

Postal Address [redacted]

Postcode OX49 [redacted]

Gender [redacted]

What is your age? [redacted]

If you are under 16,
what is your date of
birth?

What is your ethnic
group? [redacted]

Your ethnic group (if
you answered other)

Are you disabled?

Organisation Name

Job Title / Position [redacted]
within the Organisation

Areas of Interest Environment, Housing, Community Issues

Survey Types Online Consultations

1

Which part of the document do you wish to comment on? (please give the relevant paragraph or policy number below)

- Paragraph Policies Map
 Policy Number Sustainability Appraisal

Please give the relevant paragraph or policy number

308.1
1174 | 2.2 & 2.3 mainly Policy E1

2

Do you consider that the document:

Select the most applicable option in each row.

	Yes	No
(a) is legally compliant?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(b) is sound?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
(c) complies with the duty to co-operate?	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

3

Do you consider that the document is **unsound** because it is **not**: (tick as appropriate)

(a) positively prepared?

(b) justified?

(c) effective?

(d) consistent with national policy?

4

Please tell us below why you consider the document to be unsound, not legally compliant or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. If you do believe the document is sound, legally compliant, or complies with the duty to co-operate you may use the box to explain why.

There is a duty to cooperate with neighbouring councils however by insisting on these draconian rules regarding protecting employment sites and not considering the possibility of creating homes in association with businesses eg. there is the opportunity for employers such as BMW Mini to have accomodation for their own employees on site which would be in accord with sustainability rules and would increase the potential for 'affordable housing' reducing the amount of 'unmet need' being forced upon local councils who feel they have to develop Green Belt or agricultural land as a result.

5

What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the document sound or legally compliant? Please explain why this change will achieve soundness or legal compliance. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.) It would be helpful if you could suggest revised wording for the policy or text in question.

Permit businesses to create housing for their employees on site and be more flexible on where housing is allowed. Living above the shop or adjacent to the factory is to be encouraged.