

View Response

Response Details

From A Baxter

Date Started: 27 Dec 2018 16:02. Last modified: 27 Dec 2018 16:02

Status Complete

Response ID #675034

Visibility Response visibility: **Unknown**.

Email Address [REDACTED]

Postal Address

Postcode [REDACTED]

Gender

What is your age?

If you are under 16,
what is your date of
birth?

What is your ethnic
group?

Your ethnic group (if
you answered other)

Are you disabled?

Organisation Name

Job Title / Position
within the Organisation

Areas of Interest Council Budget and Priorities, Environment, Housing,
Planning and Regeneration, Transport and Parking,
Community Issues, Equalities

Survey Types

1

Which part of the document do you wish to comment on? (**please give the relevant paragraph or policy number** in the comment box below)

- Paragraph Policies Map
 Policy Number Sustainability Appraisal

Please give the relevant paragraph or policy number

9.202 - SP36

179.1 - 793

2

Do you consider that the document:

Select the most applicable option in each row.

- | | Yes | No |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|
| (a) is legally compliant? | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| (b) is sound? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |
| (c) complies with the duty to co-operate? | <input type="radio"/> | <input checked="" type="radio"/> |

3

Do you consider that the document is **unsound** because it is **not**: (tick as appropriate)

(a) positively prepared?

(c) effective?

(b) justified?

(d) consistent with national policy?

4

Please tell us below why you consider the document to be unsound, not legally compliant or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. If you do believe the document is sound, legally compliant, or complies with the duty to co-operate you may use the box to explain why.

There is no mention of returning the land that was recently appropriated for expansion of the depot to recreational use. Local residents protested at the time and were told the change of use was temporary. The current wording does not offer any reassurance that this commitment will be maintained once the depot moves and the land is made available for housing.

5

What change(s) do you consider necessary to make the document sound or legally compliant? Please explain why this change will achieve soundness or legal compliance. (Please note that non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.) It would be helpful if you could suggest revised wording for the policy or text in question.

Revised wording to comply with previous commitments could read: the redevelopment of the site should help improve the setting of Cowley Marsh Recreation Ground, provided that it is well designed, and that the land appropriated for expansion of the depot is returned to recreational use/green space.

A further commitment to protect the recreation ground by designating it as a park

would also be very welcome and show that the concerns of local residents have been taken into account.