

EXTRACT FROM THE MINUTES

NORTH EAST AREA COMMITTEE

Tuesday 16th February 2010

118. OXFORD BROOKES UNIVERSITY, GIPSY LANE, OXFORD – 09/02765/CAC AND 09/002764/FUL

The Head of City Development submitted a report (previously circulated, now appended), which detailed applications as follows:

Councillor Roy Darke informed the meeting, that he had no connection with Oxford Brookes University and so had no interest to declare;

Councillor Mohammed Altaf-Khan informed the Committee that he was a member of the Strategic Development Control Committee which would be determining the application, but that he would approach the meeting with an open mind;

Councillor Patrick Murraray declared a personal interest, as he was until 2008 a student at Oxford Brookes University;

Councillor Wilkinson declared a personal and prejudicial interest as she was an employee of Oxford Brookes University. She did not take part in the debate and removed herself from the meeting.

09/02765/CAC – Demolition of Lloyd Building and architectural workshop.

09/002764/FUL – Erection of new library and teaching building (NLTB) consisting of lecture theatre, library, teaching accommodation and social facilities, plus linked extension to the Abercrombie Building and arcaded building to new entrance piazza to Headington Road.

The Committee made the following comments which it requested be forwarded to the Strategic Development Control Committee:

- (1) The Strategic Development Control Committee should take care to note the specifics of the conditions should the application be approved;
- (2) Important that conditions attached to any permission are enforced;
- (3) The proposed legal agreement should be amended so that the development is not occupied until the numbers of Brookes students living in private rented accommodation falls below 3000 and remains so thereafter;
- (4) That the proposed development was still overbearing to the neighbouring properties;

- (5) The North East Area Committee noted the efforts made by the applicant to remedy the two previous reasons for refusal;
- (6) That it was not convinced this was the only available site at Gypsy Lane for the development;
- (7) The Committee also agreed to circulate the comments of Thames Water received in response to public consultation.